

# Object-oriented programming

Arjen Markus

Deltares

June 6, 2018

Fortran 2003 follows (more or less) the C++ model for OOP:

- Modules and derived types are used to define a class
- Variables of these derived types are the objects
- But there are differences! See below

# Syntax: Extensible types

In principle a derived type can be extended:

```
type mytype
  integer :: value
end type mytype
```

```
type, extends(mytype) :: mynewtype
  real :: extra
end type mynewtype
```

The new type inherits the old components

## Syntax: Extensible types (2)

The parent component:

```
type(mynewtype) :: v
```

```
write(*,*) Value: , v%value
```

```
write(*,*) Parent: , v%mytype%value
```

In this case they refer to the same component

The new type inherits the old components

# Syntax: Extensible types (3)

Methods:

```
module mytypes
  type mytype
    integer :: value
  contains
    procedure :: write => write_mytype !<= Alias for actual routine
  end type mytype

contains
subroutine write_mytype( v, lun )
  class(mytype) :: v                ! <= Note: "class", not "type",
                                     !   this allows extension

  integer :: lun
end subroutine
end module
```

# Syntax: Extensible types (4)

Usage:

```
use mytypes
```

```
type(mytype) :: v
```

```
call v%write( 10 )      ! <= The first argument is implicit!
```

# Syntax: Extensible types (5)

Overriding in extending type:

```
module mytypes
  type, extends(mytype) :: mynewtype
    real :: extra
  contains
    procedure :: write => write_mynewtype
  end type mynewtype

contains
subroutine write_mynewtype( v, lun )
  class(mynewtype) :: v
  integer :: lun
  call v%mytype%write( lun ) ! <= Invoke the parents routine
  write( lun, * ) Extra: , v%extra
end subroutine
end module
```

# More syntax: pass and nopass

Control how the object is passed:

```
module mytypes
  type, extends(mytype) :: mynewtype
    real :: extra
  contains
    procedure, pass(value) :: write => write_mynewtype
  end type mytype

contains
subroutine write_mynewtype( lun, value )
  class(mynewtype) :: value
  integer :: lun
  call value%mytype%write( lun )
  write( lun, * ) 'Extra: ', value%extra
end subroutine
end module
```



## More syntax: pass and nopass (2)

Invoking it:

```
use mytypes
type(mynewtype) :: v
call v%write(lun)    ! <= Not different than before!

call write_mynewtype( lun, v ) ! Alternative
```

## More syntax: pass and nopass (2)

Do not pass it implicitly:

```
procedure, nopass :: write => write_mynewtype
```

```
type(mynewtype) :: v
```

```
call v%write( lun, v )    ! <= Now we need to pass it  
                          !      ourselves
```

Useful if it works on the class, for instance

Dummy arguments:

```
subroutine calculate( data )  
  type(mydata) :: data    ! <= Exactly that type  
  
  ! Or:  
  class(mydata) :: data   ! <= This or an extension
```

A class is a "polymorphic argument"

## Types and classes (2)

Difference: declared type and dynamic type  
Use the "select type" construct:

```
select type (data)
  type is (mydata) :
    ! Variable data must be exactly of type mydata
  type is (mynewdata) :
    ! Extended from mydata, access to new components
  class is (mydata) :
    ! Dynamic type is mydata or an extension
end select
```

Or: `extends_type_of(a, mold)` and `same_type_as(a, b)`

# Unlimited polymorphic types

Declare as follows:

```
class(*), pointer      :: p_any
class(*), allocatable :: p_value

select type (p_any)
  type is (integer) :
    ! Variable p_any points to an integer
  type is (mynewdata) :
    ! Variable p_any points to a derived type mydata
  class is (mydata) :
    ! Points to a dynamic type mydata or an
    ! extension
end select
```

## Unlimited polymorphic types (2)

You can not use unlimited polymorphic types directly:

- Pass them to a routine
- Use `select type` to "transform" them to a specific type
- They are either pointers or allocatables (also for scalars!)

# Abstract classes and deferred procedures

Types can be made "abstract" - they serve as a template  
One or more procedures can be "deferred" - define them in an extended type

```
type, abstract :: mytemplate
  ...
contains
  procedure(calculate_template), deferred :: calc
end type mytemplate
```

# Abstract classes and deferred procedures (2)

Extending this abstract type:

```
type, extends(mytemplate) :: mydata
  ...
contains
  procedure :: calc => calc_mydata ! <= Concrete procedure
end type mydata
```



# Abstract classes and deferred procedures (3)

Abstract interfaces:

```
abstract interface
  subroutine calculate_template( t, x, y )
    import :: mytemplate
    class(mytemplate) :: t
    real :: x, y
  end subroutine calculate_template
end interface
```

# Abstract classes and deferred procedures (4)

- Abstract interfaces are applicable to ordinary types too.
- And for procedure pointers see below

# Procedure pointers

New class of pointers:

```
procedure(calculate_template), pointer :: p
```

```
p => my_calculation
```

```
call p( t, x, y )
```

```
call my_calculation( t, x, y )
```

Note: *no syntactical difference!*

The signature must match

## Procedure pointers (2)

Each object can have its own routine:

```
type :: mydata
  real :: x
contains
  procedure(calculate), pointer :: calc
end type

type(mydata), dimension(10) :: data

data%calc => calculation_1
data(2)%calc => calculation_2    ! <= slightly different,
                                !   same interface

do i = 1,10
  call data(i)%calc( y ) ! Object data(2) does it differently
enddo
```

# Finalizers

- You may need to do something special if the object ceases to exist.
- For instance: close a file, release memory that was accessed via a pointer.
- This happens if the object is local to a routine or is explicitly deallocated.
- That is what finalizers are for.

```
module mytypes
  type :: mydata
    real, dimension(:), pointer :: px
  contains
    final :: mydata_release_px
  end type
contains
subroutine mydata_release_px( data )
  type(mydata) :: data

  deallocate( data%px )
end subroutine my_data_release_px
```

# Generic procedures

Generic type-bound procedures work in a similar way as ordinary generic procedures. But they require a different syntax.

```
module mytypes
  type :: mycollection
    integer :: ivalue
    real    :: rvalue
  contains
    procedure :: get_r :: get_real
    procedure :: get_i :: get_int

    generic   :: get => get_real, get_int
  end type
contains
subroutine get_real( c, x ) ! Similar for integer
  type(mycollection) :: c
  real                :: x

end subroutine get_real
end module mytypes
```

Some differences with c++:

- F2003 does not define constructor routines.
- It does define finalizer routines
- F2003 does not allow multiple inheritance
  - You can extend the interface
  - Or use "aggregation" to handle a lot of the things you would want to do
- In F2003 no difference between calling routines via pointers or via fixed names! This is therefore transparent in the users code.