Experimental Programming in Fortran

Arjen Markus

Deltares

July 3, 2020

Arjen Markus Experimental Programming in Fortran

▶ ∢ ≣

∍⊳

Fortran programming often very pragmatic: *A concrete problem needs to be solved.*

A typical program:

- Read input
- Do the calculation
- Write results

But let us get beyond the pragmatic!

Other languages offer:

- Lambda expressions, that is, anonymous functions
- Functional programming
- Non-class based object-oriented programming
- ...

And what about mathematical subjects?

Can we have some of these? That is the subject of this talk.

Consider the mathematical concept of vector spaces:

- Two objects (in the mathematical sense) can be added to give a new object.
- You can scale an object by a scalar.

Vectors in n-dimensional Euclidean space (\mathbb{R}^n) are easy – but how about function spaces?

Yes, we can!

Mathematical notions (2)

```
program test_space
   use vectors_function
    implicit none
    intrinsic :: sin, cos
    type(vector_function) :: a, b, c, d
    procedure(f_of_x), pointer :: f
    f => sin; call setfunc( a, f ) ! procedure pointer
    f => cos; call setfunc( b, f ) ! needed
    c = a + b
   d = 10.0 * c
    write(*,*) 'a at x = 1.0: ', a%eval(1.0)
    write(*,*) 'b at x = 1.0: ', b%eval(1.0)
    write(*,*) 'c at x = 1.0: ', c%eval(1.0)
    write(*,*) 'd at x = 1.0: ', d%eval(1.0)
    . . .
end program test_space
```

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ □ □ ● ○ ○ ○

And the output is as expected:

```
$ ./test_space
a at x = 1.0: 0.841470957
b at x = 1.0: 0.540302277
c at x = 1.0: 1.38177323
d at x = 1.0: 13.8177319
```

Perhaps not a very practical example, but it illustrates that such things are possible!

Consider this advection-diffusion-reaction equation:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \underline{u} \cdot \nabla C = \nabla (D \nabla C) - k(C)$$
(1)

$$k(C) = k_0 \text{ if } C > 0, \text{ else } 0$$
 (2)

An alternative notation (using $\nabla \cdot \underline{u} = 0$, as the flow field is conservative):

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\underline{u}C) = \operatorname{div}(D\operatorname{grad} C) - k(C)$$
(3)

• • = • • = •

Numerous numerical methods are available:

- The concentration *C* is approximated via some discretisation a regular grid or a triangular mesh or ...
- The gradient terms are approximated using finite differences or finite-element techniques
- Discrete time steps

But: we can hide all those gruesome details!

Define suitable overloaded and user-defined operations to arrive at:

```
decay = merge( decay0, 0.0, conc > 0.0 )
deriv = .div. (-flow * conc + disp * .grad. conc) - decay
conc = conc + deriv * deltt
```

Note that the code is independent of the actual discretisation and the number of dimensions.

We can hide the precise numerical methods inside the overloaded operations and functions. (And use coarrays underneath.)

Something completely different: lambda expressions

Languages like Java and C# allow the user to define anonymous functions, also known as *lambda expressions*.

Here is an example in Java:

```
printPersons(
    roster,
    (Person p) ->
        p.getGender() == Person.Sex.MALE
        && p.getAge() >= 18
        && p.getAge() <= 25
);</pre>
```

Here (Person p) -> ... defines an expression that acts as a function inside printPersons.

This is not possible in Fortran – or is it?

Well, perhaps not as elegant, but we can get close – without special syntax:

```
program print_table
    use lambda_expressions
    type(lambda_integer)
                                   :: x
    type(lambda_expression)
                                   :: lambda1, lambda2
    integer
                                   :: v
    call lambda1%set( x, x+2 )
    call lambda2%set( x, x*2 )
    do v = 1, 10
        write(*,*) v, lambda1%eval(v), lambda2%eval(v)
    enddo
end program
```

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

э

The secret lies in overloading the arithmetic operations and elementary functions:

```
function integer_add( x, y ) result(add)
  type(lambda_integer), intent(in), target :: x, y
  type(lambda_integer), pointer :: add
  allocate( add )
  add%operation = 1 ! Indicates addition
  add%first => x
  add%second => y
end function integer_add
```

This way, you build up an expression tree

Lambda expressions - dirty work behind the screens

And some messing about with pointers:

```
subroutine set_expression( lambda, x, expr )
    class(lambda_expression) :: lambda
    type(lambda_integer), target :: x
    type(lambda_integer), pointer :: expr
    type(lambda_integer_pointer), dimension(size(lambda%operand)) :: &
                                     arg
    arg(1)%arg => x
    arg(2)%arg => null() ! Only one variable in the expression
    . . .
    L
    ! Correct the pointers to arguments
    call correct_pointer( arg, lambda%operand, expr )
    allocate( lambda%expr, source=expr )
```

```
end subroutine set_expression
```

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

The fact that other languages have lambda expressions (the idea originated in LISP, if I am not mistaken) could be an argument to include them in some future version of the standard.

Having a basic implementation, however, allows us to experiment and see if it is worth the trouble.

But I really like the fact that for this experiment we do not need new syntax!

The Fortran 2003 standard introduced *object-oriented programming*, based on *classes* – the C++ style.

Typical concepts:

- Objects based on *classes*, extensible via *inheritance*
- OOP allows for *data abstraction* and *information hiding* (or implementation hiding)
- Objects can act as different types (polymorphism)

But there are other styles as well!

The Self language for instance uses a "prototype" approach:

- Objects can be extended with new properties and methods, so no fixed classes
- Objects can be used as a template for other objects
- As a consequence: a dynamic system

Alternative object-oriented paradigms: Fortran

A simple example of this approach in Fortran:

```
use prototypes
type(prototype) :: p1, p2
integer :: start, end
logical :: found
! Fill properties for variable p1
call prototype_set( p1, "Start", 1 )
call prototype_set( p1, "End", 10 )
! We need a copy, reset one property:
p2 = p1
               ! This relies on automatic reallocation!
call property_set( p2, "Start", 2 )
```

Copying an object results in a new object that can get new values for existing properties or get new properties and methods.

Alternative object-oriented paradigms: implementation aspects

The implementation of the prototypes module depends on several Fortran 2003 features:

- Automatic and sourced allocation
- Unlimited polymorphic variables
- Procedure pointers

One "problem": it uses subroutines to retrieve values, not functions

integer :: value
logical :: found

```
call prototype_get( p1, "Start", value, found )
```

Fortran is not perfect, there is still a lot to be wished for, but:

- Do we realise all that is possible?
- What other experiments can we do?
- Which technique will proof useful in practice?

(Short articles on these experiments as well as the source code is available on http://flibs.sf.net)